

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

l	SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	08/056,986	05/04/93	WOOLFORD	M	M&G3616.73US
				KENT.C	EXAMINER
			35M1/0123	•	
	MERCHANT, GO	-	, EDELL,		DARES WHITES
	WELTER & SCH 1000 NORWES		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SAINT PAUL,		701	3504	11
	•			DATE MAILED:	01/23/95
	s is a communication from th		your application.		
					•
This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 10/21/94 This action is made final.					
This application has been examined					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from the date of this letter.					
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133					
Part !	THE FOLLOWING	ATTACHMENT(S) A	RE PART OF THIS ACTION:		
1.	_	es Cited by Examine	_ ·	atent Orawino PT	0-948
3.		by Applicant, PTO-1		_	lication, Form PTO-152.
5.		w to Effect Drawing C			
Part I	SUMMARY OF AC	TION			
			121 21		
1.	Claims	15 au	d 21-34	·	are pending in the application.
	Of the above	e, claims		are	withdrawn from consideration.
2	Claims				have been cancelled.
3.	Claims	15, 23-	-27, 29-30, and	32-34	, are allowed.
.4.			, 28 and 31		
5.	Claims			····	are objected to.
6.	Ctairns		are	subject to restrict	lon or election requirement.
,	☐ This confication be	no becom filed with info	rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are	nagantahia far aya	
	C This approach to	is occi med with thio	miai drawings under 37 C.F.H. 1.85 which are	acceptable for exa	anination purposes.
8.	Formal drawings a	re required in respon	se to this Office action.		
9.	The corrected or s	_			F.R. 1.84 these drawings
* -	are acceptab	le. Inot acceptable	e (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing	, PTO-948).	•
10.			heet(s) of drawings, filed on	_ has (have) been	approved by the
11.	☐ The proposed draw	wing correction, filed	on, has been 🔲 appro	ved. D disappro	oved (see explanation)
	_		or priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy		
	_		ial no; filed on _		
					•
13.			condition for allowance except for formal matte parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	ers, prosecution as	to the merits is closed in
14,	Other				
	aller		:		

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 9-89)

Serial Number: 08/056,986

Art Unit: 3504

The following office action is in response to patent examination application SN 08/056,986 filed on 05/04/93.

Acknowledgement is made of the receipt of the response entered 10/21/94. Claims 16-20 have been cancelled. Claims 22-34 have been added. Claims 1-15 and 21-34 are pending on the merits.

SPECIFICATION - 35 U. S. C. 112 OBJECTIONS FIRST PARAGRAPH

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as the specification, as originally filed does not provide support for the invention as is now claimed. Newly submitted claims 22, 28 and 31 contain a limitation directed to a block having a second protrusion. No basis for this subject matter is found in the specification, drawings, or claims as originally filed.

Serial Number: 08/056,986 -3-

Art Unit: 3504

CLAIMS - 35 U.S.C. 112 REJECTIONS FIRST PARAGRAPH

Claims 22, 28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

CLAIMS - 35 U. S. C. 102 REJECTIONS

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Forsberg '876. Forsberg '876 teaches a method of building a retaining structure comprising the method step of laying each course to form said retaining structure. Examiner notes that this single method step is the only method step found in the claim. Little weight is given to structural limitations found in a method claim unless they are required to perform the method step. In the case of claim 21, none of the recited structures are required to perform the claimed method step.

ALLOWED CLAIMS

Claims 1-15, 23-27, 29-30 and 32-34 are allowable over the prior art of record. The prior art of record fails to anticipate or render obvious the claimed block including the front surfaces

Serial Number: 08/056,986

Art Unit: 3504

of the back legs of the block being angled toward the block front surface.

RESPONSE TO REMARKS

Applicant's arguments filed 10/21/94 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive with regard to claim 21. See explanation of position in the rejection of claim 21.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed

to Christopher Kent at (703) 308-2497.

Christopher Kent January 23, 1995 CARL D. FRIEDMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP 3500